arXiv:hep-th/9312027v1 3 Dec 1993

HU-TFT 93-38
hep-th/9312027

DERIVATION OF INDEX THEOREMS BY LOCALIZATION OF PATH INTEGRALS †

Antero Hietamäki *

Research Institute for Theoretical Physics
P.O. Box 9, FIN-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland

We review the derivation of the Atiyah-Singer and Callias index theorems using the recently developed localization method to calculate exactly the relevant supersymmetric path integrals.

† Talk given at 'The III International Conference on Mathematical Physics, String Theory and Quantum Gravity', Alushta, Ukraine, June 13–24, 1993
* E-mail: antero.hietamaki@helsinki.fi

November 1993

1 Introduction

Recently various aspects of localization of path integrals have been studied [1]–[8]. In this talk I review examples of using localization methods to calculate path integrals of supersymmetric models related to the derivation of index theorems.

The idea of localization is the following: by finding a hidden supersymmetry in a generic phase space path integral we can show that the path integral formally reduces to an ordinary phase space integral or a discrete sum, provided that certain conditions are fulfilled. In other words, we find that our path integral depends only on the loop space equivariant cohomology[1] class of the integrand, and localization is achieved by choosing the representative of this class in a clever way.

Let us consider the quantization of a system described by a Hamiltonian function H defined on a symplectic manifold Γ with local coordinates ϕ^a. The symplectic two form can locally be expressed in terms of a symplectic potential:

$$\omega = d\vartheta = \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_a\vartheta_b - \partial_b\vartheta_a\right) d\phi^a \wedge d\phi^b \tag{1}$$

The partition function of the quantum theory is given by the path integral, defined as an integral over the space of phase space loops (loop space) $T\Gamma$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Z} &= \int_{T\Gamma} [d\phi^a] \sqrt{\det ||\Omega_{ab}||}\, \exp\{i\int_0^T dt[\vartheta_a\dot{\phi}^a - H(\phi)]\} \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{T}\Gamma} [d\phi^a dc^a] \exp\{iS[\phi] + i\Omega\} \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &= \int_0^T dt' \int_0^T dt\{\frac{1}{2}c^a(t')\Omega_{ab}(t',t)c(t)^b\} \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{2}c^a\Omega_{ab}c^b \\ \Omega_{ab}(t',t) &= \omega_{ab}(\phi(t))\delta(t-t') \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where we have lifted the symplectic two form from the phase space to the loop space by interpreting the anticommuting variables $c^a(t)\hat{=}d\phi^a(t)$ [2] as a basis of the exterior algebra $T\Lambda$ on $T\Gamma$. $\mathcal{T}\Gamma$ denotes the supermanifold corresponding to the tangent bundle of $T\Gamma$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}$ denotes the corresponding supermanifold for Γ. The "extended action" $S+\Omega$ has a supersymmetry: it is equivariantly closed, i.e. invariant under supersymmetry transformations generated by the equivariant exterior derivative $d_S = d + i_S$:

$$d_S(S+\Omega) = 0 \tag{4}$$

where $d = c^a\partial_a$ is the exterior derivative in the loop space, $i_S = \chi_S^a i_a$ is contraction in the loop space along the hamiltonian vector field of S, $\chi_S^a = \dot{\phi}^a - \chi_H^a$, the components of which are related to the Euler-Lagrange equation of motion. $\chi_H^a = \omega^{ab}\partial_b H$ are the

[1] Equivariant cohomology [9] is the central concept in the theory of localization [2]

[2] In the following we do not usually explicitly write the t-dependence and t-integration, but understand the latter to be included in the summation convention as in the second line of (3)

components of the hamiltonian vector field generated by H on Γ. This supersymmetry, or d_S-closedness, of the action can now be exploited to localize the path integral by adding a d_S-exact term to the exponent: $S + \Omega \to S + \Omega + d_S\psi$, where ψ belongs to the subspace $T\Lambda_{inv}$ in which the square of d_S, i.e. the Lie-derivative along χ_S is zero:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_S &= d_S^2 = di_S + i_S d \\ T\Lambda_{inv} &= \{\mu \in T\Lambda | \mathcal{L}_S \mu = 0\} \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

In the absence of cohomological obstructions [3] one can now show that a path integral with this extended exponential is independent of the choice of the gauge fermion ψ. This is done by the following change of variables in the path integral (with $\delta\psi \in T\Lambda_{inv}$):

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^a &\to \phi^a + \delta\psi\, d_S\phi^a = \phi^a + \delta\psi\, c^a \\ c^a &\to c^a + \delta\psi\, d_S c^a = c^a + \delta\psi\, \chi_S^a \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

The exponent, being d_S-closed, is invariant under this transformation, but the measure produces a factor of $\exp(d_S\delta\psi)$. Thus $Z_\psi = Z_{\psi+\delta\psi}$, and the path integral is independent of the choice of the gauge fermion ψ in $T\Lambda_{inv}$. Selecting ψ carefully one can obtain various localization formulas. In other words, localization is achieved by choosing the representative of the equivariant cohomology class of the integrand cleverly. In order to do this we introduce a Riemannian metric g_{ab} in the phase space, inducing a metric $g_{ab}\delta(t-t')$ in the loop space as well. We now assume that the phase space metric is invariant under translations generated by the Hamiltonian H:

$$\mathcal{L}_H g = 0 \tag{7}$$

This assumption means that the action of H must essentially be that of a circle or a line [7].

Presuming the existence of such a metric, the following two-parameter family of gauge fermions belongs to $T\Lambda_{inv}$ [7]:

$$\psi = (\lambda\dot{\phi}^a - \mu\chi_{\mathrm{H}}^a)\mathrm{g}_{ab}c^b \tag{8}$$

Taking both parameters λ and μ to zero reproduces the original path integral (2). Different choices of them then lead to different localizations:

1. Taking $\lambda = \mu$ and $\lambda \to \infty$ localizes to the critical points of the action, i.e. the classical trajectories, giving the WKB formula [3]:

$$\mathrm{Z} = \int_{T\Gamma} [d\phi^a]\, \delta[\chi_{\mathrm{S}}^a] \sqrt{\det \left|\left| \frac{\delta\chi_{\mathrm{S}}^a}{\delta\phi^b} \right|\right|} \cdot \exp\{i\mathrm{S}[\phi]\} = \sum_{\phi_{c\ell}} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{iS}[\phi]}}{\sqrt{\det \left|\left| \frac{\delta\chi_{\mathrm{S}}^a}{\delta\phi^b} \right|\right|}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{iS}[\phi]} \tag{9}$$

2. Taking $\lambda = 0$ and $\mu \to \infty$ localizes to the critical points of the Hamiltonian, i.e. time independent classical paths [7]:

$$\mathrm{Z} = \int_\Gamma d\phi^a \delta(\chi_H^a) \cdot \exp\{-iTH(\phi)\} \sqrt{\frac{\det' \left|\left| \partial_a \chi_H^b \right|\right|}{\det' \left|\left| \delta_a^b \partial_t - \partial_a \chi_H^b \right|\right|}}$$

$$= \sum_{\overline{\phi}_{c\ell}} \frac{e^{-iTH(\overline{\phi}_{c\ell})}}{\sqrt{\det\left|\left|\partial_a \chi_H^b\right|\right| \det_t'\left|\left|\partial_a \chi_S^b\right|\right|}} \tag{10}$$

The summation is over time independent classical paths.

3. Taking $\mu = 0$ and $\lambda \to \infty$ produces a phase space integral over equivariant characteristic classes [7]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Z} &= \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}} d\phi^a dc^a e^{-iT(H-\Omega)} \sqrt{\det\left[\frac{\frac{T}{2}(\tilde{\Omega}_{ab}+R_{ab})}{\sinh[\frac{T}{2}(\tilde{\Omega}_{ab}+R_{ab})}\right]} \\ &\equiv \int_{\Gamma} \mathrm{Ch}\left[\frac{T}{2}(H-\Omega)\right] \wedge \hat{\mathcal{A}}\left[\frac{T}{2}(\tilde{\Omega}_{ab}+R_{ab})\right] \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where $\tilde{\Omega}_{ab} = \frac{1}{2}[\partial_b(g_{ac}\chi_H^c) - \partial_a(g_{bc}\chi_H^c)]$, $R_{ab} = R_{abcd}c^c c^d$ is the curvature two form corresponding to g_{ab}, $\mathrm{Ch}(H-\Omega)$ and $\hat{\mathcal{A}}(\tilde{\Omega}+R_{ab})$ are equivariant generalizations of the Chern character and the $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$-genus, respectively [7], and all objects are evaluated at the constant modes.

Formula (11) is the most general, since it is valid even in the cases where the critical point set of H is degenerate. Let us look at the derivation of it in the simplified case of $H = 0$, which, as we shall see, is relevant from the point of view of supersymmetric models. Our path integral is now

$$\mathrm{Z} = \int_{\mathcal{T}\Gamma} [d\phi^a dc^a] \exp\{i\int_0^T dt[\vartheta_a \dot{\phi}^a + \frac{1}{2}c^a \Omega_{ab} c^b + \lambda g_{ab}\dot{\phi}^a\dot{\phi}^b + \lambda c^a[g_{ab}\partial_t + \partial_a g_{bc}\dot{\phi}^c]c^b\} \tag{12}$$

Taking a flat metric $g_{ab} = \eta_{ab}$, setting $\lambda \to \infty$ and using the definition of the delta function $\delta(\mathrm{x}) = \lim_{\lambda\to\infty}\sqrt{\lambda}\exp\{-\lambda \mathrm{x}^2\}$ leading to functional delta function $\delta(\dot{\phi})$, this easily gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Z} &= \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}} d\phi_0^a dc_0^a \exp\{\frac{i}{2}T c_0^a \Omega_{ab}(\phi_0) c_0^b\} \left(\det \parallel \partial_t \parallel\right)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \\ &= \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}} d\phi_0^a dc_0^a e^{\frac{i}{2}c_0^a \Omega_{ab}(\phi_0)c_0^b} \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} e^{\frac{i}{2}\Omega_{ab}(\phi) d\phi^a \wedge d\phi^b} \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Here we have for clarity denoted by ϕ_0 and c_0 the constant (time independent) modes of $\phi(t)$ and $c(t)$: $\phi^a(t) = \phi_0^a + \phi_t^a(t)$ and similarly for $c(t)$, and the next to the last line comes calculating $\det \parallel \partial_t \parallel = T$ using ζ-function regularization.

For a generic g fulfilling (7) one obtains, after some manipulations [7], Formula (11) with $\tilde{\Omega} = 0$, i.e. the standard $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$-genus for the curvature two form and Chern character for the two form Ω (evaluated at the constant modes):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Z} &= \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}} d\phi^a dc^a e^{i\Omega} \sqrt{\det\left[\frac{\frac{1}{2}R_{ab}}{\sinh\left(\frac{1}{2}R_{ab}\right)}\right]} \\ &\equiv \int_{\Gamma} \mathrm{Ch}(\Omega) \wedge \hat{\mathcal{A}}(R_{ab}) \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

2 Supersymmetric Models and the Atiyah-Singer Index Theorem

In [5] it was argued that with a suitable auxiliary field formalism supersymmetric theories which are bilinear in the fermionic variables can be formulated as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Z} &= \int_{\mathcal{T}\Gamma} [d\phi^a dc^a] \exp\{iS_B[\phi] + i\Omega\} \\ S_B &= \int_0^T dt[\vartheta_a \dot{\phi}^a - H(\phi)] \qquad \text{with} \quad H = 0 \\ S_B + \Omega &= d_{\dot{\phi}}\vartheta \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

In other words the fermionic part of the action can be interpreted as a symplectic two form in the loop space, and the hamiltonian vector field of the action $\chi_S^a = \dot{\phi}^a$ corresponds to the action of a circle in the loop space. This means that the equivariant exterior derivative $d_S = d_{\dot{\phi}}$ is model independent, and all the model dependence resides in the one-form ϑ. Localization formula (14) is in this case always valid, since condition (7) is trivially true for any background metric with $H = 0$.

We now look at examples of such supersymmetric models, related to calculating the index of the Dirac operator defined on an even dimensional compact orientable manifold M with metric $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$. The Dirac operator is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \not{D} &= \gamma^\mu D_\mu = \gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu + \omega_\mu + A_\mu) \\ \omega_\mu &= \frac{1}{8}\left(\partial_\sigma g_{\mu\rho} + e^r_\sigma \partial_\mu e^r_\rho\right)[\gamma^\rho, \gamma^\sigma] \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where γ^μ are the standard Dirac matrices obeying

$$\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu = 2g^{\mu\nu} \tag{17}$$

the vielbein e^r_μ satisfies $e^r_\mu e^r_\nu = g_{\mu\nu}$, and A_μ is the background gauge field. The Dirac operator anticommutes with γ_5 (or equivalent in dim $\neq 4$), so the two can be written in block form:

$$\gamma_5 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \not{D} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & D \\ D^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{18}$$

The analytical index of the Dirac operator is defined as the difference between bosonic and fermionic zero modes:

$$I \equiv \mathrm{Dim}\,\mathrm{Ker}(D) - \mathrm{Dim}\,\mathrm{Ker}(D^\dagger) \tag{19}$$

This can be calculated as the Witten index for the corresponding supersymmetric model:

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \lim_{\beta\to\infty} \mathrm{Tr}(-\mathbf{1})^F e^{-\beta H} = \lim_{\beta\to\infty} \int_{\mathcal{T}\Gamma} [d\phi^a dc^a]\, e^{-(S_B+S_F)} \\ H &= \{Q, Q^\dagger\} \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

with the supersymmetry generator Q identified as $D^\dagger$, $(-\mathbf{1})^F$ as γ_5, and $S_B + S_F$ is the supersymmetric action corresponding to H. In our case of even dimensional compact

manifold the trace is actually independent of β, but this is not true for odd dimensional non-compact manifolds, as we shall see. This β-independence has conventionally been used to calculate the path integral [12], but it is not needed in our approach. The Atiyah-Singer index theorem [10] states that the result of the path integral is a topological invariant of the background fields.

Let us first look at the simple case of flat metric and U(1) gauge field (in our notation $\beta \equiv T$):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{S_B} + \mathrm{S_F} &= \int_0^T dt[\frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\mu\dot{x}^\nu + \dot{x}^\mu A_\mu + \frac{1}{2}c^\mu(\eta_{\mu\nu}\partial_t - F_{\mu\nu})c^\nu] \\ F_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

which is of the form (15) with

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta &= (\eta_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\nu + A_\mu)c^\mu \\ \Omega &= d\vartheta = \frac{1}{2}c^\mu(\eta_{\mu\nu}\partial_t - F_{\mu\nu})c^\nu \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Application of (13) gives (inserting normalization)

$$I = \int_\Gamma \exp\{\frac{i}{4\pi}F_{\mu\nu}(x)dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu\} \tag{23}$$

a topological invariant as it should.

This calculation can easily be generalized to non-flat manifolds: we substitute the metric g_{ab} for η_{ab} and add the term $\frac{1}{2}c^\mu\dot{x}^\rho g_{\mu\sigma}\Gamma^\sigma_{\rho\nu}c^\nu$ (with $\Gamma^\sigma_{\rho\nu}$ the Christoffel symbol for the metric g_{ab}) to the action [4], which then has the form (15) with

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta &= (g_{\mu\nu}\dot{x}^\nu + A_\mu)c^\mu \\ \Omega &= d\vartheta = \frac{1}{2}c^\mu(g_{\mu\nu}\partial_t + \dot{x}^\rho g_{\mu\sigma}\Gamma^\sigma_{\rho\nu} - F_{\mu\nu})c^\nu \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

The index is (14) where now $\mathrm{Ch}(\Omega) = \mathrm{Ch}(F)$, since the first two terms of Ω (24) do not contribute when evaluated at the constant modes.

We can use the localization method to derive the Atiyah-Singer index theorem for the case of a non-abelian background field, too [4]. This is done with the help of the coadjoint orbit representation of the gauge group [11]. The result is [4, 10]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{I} &= \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}} dx^\mu dc^\mu \mathrm{Tr}\{e^{\frac{i}{4\pi}F^\alpha_{\mu\nu}\tau^\alpha c^\mu c^\nu}\}\sqrt{\det\left|\frac{\frac{i}{4\pi}R_{ab}}{\sinh(\frac{i}{4\pi}R_{ab})}\right|} \\ &= \int_\Gamma \mathrm{Ch}(F) \wedge \hat{\mathcal{A}}(R_{ab}) \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

We thus see that our localization method works beautifully in deriving the Atiyah-Singer index theorem. In fact, the idea for these loop space constructions originated from just these examples by Witten, Atiyah and Bismut [2], and was further generalized in our formalism in [4, 5]. The main advantage of this method, compared to conventional methods of deriving the Atiyah-Singer index theorem [12], is that no reference is made to the formal β-independence of (20), which means the method is directly applicable to the case of odd dimensional and non-compact manifolds, as we shall shortly see.

3 Superloop space and the Callias Index Theorem

However, in [5] it was noted that in many supersymmetric theories the zero mode contibutions are lost unless we generalise the concept of loop space to that of superloop space, where we mix bosonic and fermionic coordinates. In [5] this was demonstrated by various examples, and in [8] the superloop space structure is shown for the general N = 1 supermultiplet. Here we present the idea of superloop space localization in the light of a simple example, supersymmetric quantum mechanics, which is related to the Callias index theorem.

The Callias index theorem [13] deals with the index of time independent Dirac operators of the form (18) in Minkowski space-time of n space dimensions (n odd), denoted by coordinates q, with

$$\mathrm{D} = i\gamma^i\partial_i \otimes \mathbf{1}_m + \gamma^i \otimes A_i(q) + \mathbf{1}_p \otimes \Phi(q) \tag{26}$$

Here the n $p \times p$ matrices ($p = 2^{(n-1)/2}$) γ^i satisfy the Euclidean Dirac algebra $\gamma^i\gamma^j + \gamma^j\gamma^i = 2\delta^{ij}\mathbf{1}_p$. A_i and Φ are Hermitian $m \times m$ matrices, with the boundary conditions that as $\mid q \mid \rightarrow \infty$ $A_i(q)$ tends to 0 and $\Phi(q)$ approaches a homogenous function of order 0. The index is defined by (19), and it can be shown not to depend on $A_i(q)$ [13].

We now look at the derivation of the Callias index in the case

$$\Phi(x) = \gamma^i W_{,i}(q) \qquad W_{,i} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} W(q) \tag{27}$$

The index can be calculated by (20) from the n-dimensional supersymmetric quantum mechanics model, identifying the Dirac operator with the supersymmetry generator [6, 14]

$$Q \;=\; \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\theta_i p_i + \bar{\theta}_i W_{,i}) \tag{28}$$

The other generator is

$$\bar{Q} \;=\; \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\bar{\theta}_i p_i - \theta_i W_{,i}) \tag{29}$$

Here the Poisson brackets of the anticommuting variables are

$$\{\theta_i, \theta_j\} \;=\; \{\bar{\theta}_i, \bar{\theta}_j\} \;=\; \delta_{ij} \tag{30}$$

and the supersymmetry algebra is

$$\begin{aligned} \{Q, Q\} \;&=\; \{\bar{Q}, \bar{Q}\} \;=\; H \;=\; \frac{1}{2}p_i^2 + \frac{1}{2}W_{,i}^2 + \bar{\theta}_i W_{,ij}\theta_j \\ \{Q, \bar{Q}\} \;&=\; \{Q, H\} \;=\; \{\bar{Q}, H\} \;=\; 0 \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

The corresponding canonical supersymmetry action is (in our notation $T \equiv \beta$)

$$S_B + S_F \;=\; \int_0^T p_i\dot{q}_i + \frac{1}{2}\theta_i\dot{\theta}_i + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\theta}_i\dot{\bar{\theta}}_i - \frac{1}{2}p_i^2 - \frac{1}{2}W_{,i}^2 - \bar{\theta}_i W_{,ik}\theta_k \tag{32}$$

For notational simplicity we now specialize to $n = 1$ — the generalization of the following calculations to arbitrary n is straight forward. The one dimensional action reads:

$$S_B + S_F \;=\; \int_0^T p\dot{q} + \frac{1}{2}\theta\dot{\theta} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\theta}\dot{\bar{\theta}} - \frac{1}{2}p^2 - \frac{1}{2}W_{,q}^2 - \bar{\theta}W_{,qq}\theta \tag{33}$$

In order to interpret this action in terms of superloop space geometry we make the following change of variables (with unit Jacobian) in the path integral:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} p & \rightarrow & -ip - iW_{,q} + \dot{q} \\ q & \rightarrow & q \end{array} \tag{34}$$

which brings the action into the form

$$S_B + S_F \;=\; \int_0^T \frac{1}{2}\dot{q}^2 + \frac{1}{2}p^2 + pW_{,q} + \frac{1}{2}(\theta\dot{\theta} + \bar{\theta}\dot{\bar{\theta}}) - \bar{\theta}W_{,qq}\theta \tag{35}$$

We now consider a superloop space with $q(t)$ and $\bar{\theta}(t)$ viewed as superloop space coordinates, and $\theta(t)$ and $p(t)$ as one-forms. The exterior derivative is

$$d \;=\; \theta\frac{\delta}{\delta q} + p\frac{\delta}{\delta\bar{\theta}} \tag{36}$$

and if we introduce the superloop space symplectic one-form

$$\vartheta \;=\; -\frac{1}{2}\dot{q}\theta + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\theta}p \tag{37}$$

the corresponding symplectic two-form is the exterior derivative of (37),

$$\Omega \;=\; d\vartheta \;=\; \frac{1}{2}p^2 + \frac{1}{2}\theta\dot{\theta} \tag{38}$$

Defining the vector field

$$i_S \;=\; -\dot{q}\cdot i_\theta - \dot{\bar{\theta}}\cdot i_p \tag{39}$$

we get the noninteracting part of the supersymmetric quantum mechanics action (35) as

$$S \;=\; (d + i_S)\vartheta \;=\; \Omega + i_S\vartheta \;=\; \int_0^T \frac{1}{2}\dot{q}^2 + \frac{1}{2}p^2 + \frac{1}{2}\theta\dot{\theta} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\theta}\dot{\bar{\theta}} \tag{40}$$

The interaction is obtained by defining the superloop space scalar *i.e.* zero-form

$$\mathcal{W} \;=\; \bar{\theta}W_{,q} \tag{41}$$

Since the interior multiplication of a loop space vector field and a loop space scalar vanishes

$$i_S\mathcal{W} \;=\; 0 \tag{42}$$

we then find that in the superloop space the action (35) can be represented as

$$S_B + S_F \;=\; (d + i_S)(\vartheta + \mathcal{W}) \tag{43}$$

Notice in particular that this action is a linear combination of exact forms with degree zero, one and two.

We shall now evaluate the path integral using the localization techniques. For this we first observe that for the superloop space Lie derivative,

$$\mathcal{L}_S \;=\; di_S + i_S d \;=\; -\dot{q}\partial_q - \dot{\bar{\theta}}\partial_{\bar{\theta}} - \dot{p}i_p - \dot{\theta}i_\theta \;=\; -\partial_t \tag{44}$$

Obviously

$$\mathcal{L}_S(\dot{q}\theta) \;=\; 0 \tag{45}$$

Hence we conclude that the path integral (20), (43) remains intact if we redefine[3]

$$\vartheta \;\rightarrow\; \vartheta_\lambda \;=\; -\frac{\lambda}{2}\dot{q}\theta + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\theta}p \tag{46}$$

For the action this yields

$$S_B + S_F \;\rightarrow\; (d+i_S)(\vartheta_\lambda + \mathcal{W}) = \int_0^T \frac{\lambda}{2}\dot{q}^2 + \frac{1}{2}p^2 + pW_{,q} + \frac{\lambda}{2}\theta\dot{\theta} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\theta}\dot{\bar{\theta}} + \theta W_{,qq}\bar{\theta} \tag{47}$$

and from the arguments presented earlier we conclude that the path integral with (47) is λ-independent.

We define the path integral measure in (20) as [4]

$$[dq][d\theta][dp][d\bar{\theta}] \;=\; dq_0 d\theta_0 dp_0 d\bar{\theta}_0 \prod_t dq_t d\theta_t dp_t d\bar{\theta}_t \tag{48}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} q(t) \;&=\; q_0 \;+\; q_t \qquad & \theta(t) \;&=\; \theta_0 \;+\; \theta_t \\ p(t) \;&=\; p_0 \;+\; p_t \qquad & \bar{\theta}(t) \;&=\; \bar{\theta}_0 \;+\; \bar{\theta}_t \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

with q_0, θ_{10}, p_0, θ_{20} the constant modes. We then introduce the change of variables

$$\begin{aligned} q(t) \;&\rightarrow\; q_0 \;+\; \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}q_t \\ \theta(t) \;&\rightarrow\; \theta_0 \;+\; \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\theta_t \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

The corresponding Jacobian in the path integral measure (48) is trivial. Since the path integral is independent of λ we can take the $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ limit which yields for the action in (47)

$$S_B + S_F \;\rightarrow\; \int_0^T \frac{1}{2}\dot{q}_t^2 + \frac{1}{2}p_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}p_t^2 + \frac{1}{2}\theta_t\dot{\theta}_t + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\theta}_t\dot{\bar{\theta}}_t + \theta_0 W_{,qq}(q_0)\bar{\theta}_0 + p_0 W_{,q}(q_0) + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}) \tag{51}$$

[3] Notice that as explained in [4], we cannot redefine the second term in (37) in the same manner. Due to the constant mode, such a redefinition is not a small, local variation.

Integrating over θ_t, $\bar{\theta}_t$, q_t and p we then get for the path integral (inserting normalization)

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\pi T}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq_0 d\theta_0 d\bar{\theta}_0 \exp\{-\frac{i}{2} T W_{,q}^2(q_0) + iT\theta_0 W_{,qq}(q_0)\bar{\theta}_0\} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{T}{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq_0 W_{,qq}(q_0) \exp\{-\frac{i}{2} T W_{,q}^2(q_0)\} \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

and in the $T \to \infty$ limit this yields for the index of the operator (26)

$$Z \stackrel{T\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} I \;=\; \mathrm{Dim\,Ker}(D) - \mathrm{Dim\,Ker}(D^\dagger) \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{a_+}{|a_+|} - \frac{a_-}{|a_-|}\right] \tag{53}$$

with a_+ and a_- the boundary values of the soliton ("kink") profile potential $W_{,q}(q)$ at $q \to \pm\infty$.

For arbitrary n the following result is obtained by a straight forward generalization of the previous construction:

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{(2\pi T)^n}} \int \prod_i dq_{i0} d\bar{\theta}_{i0} d\theta_{i0} \exp\{-\frac{i}{2} T W_{,i}^2(q_{i0}) - iT\bar{\theta}_{i0} W_{,ik}(q_{i0})\theta_{k0}\} \\ &= \sqrt{\left(\frac{T}{2\pi}\right)^n} \int \prod_i dq_{i0} \det ||W_{,ij}(q_{i0})|| \exp\{-\frac{i}{2} T W_{,i}^2(q_{i0})\} \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

The index is the $T \to \infty$ limit, which gives a winding number and agrees with the result of Callias [13, 14]. Notice that for even n our result does not correspond to the index of a static operator (26), but it corresponds to that of a different operator [14]. Interestingly, we also find that we can relate the Callias index to the Atiyah-Singer index of a higher dimensional operator [6].

4 Conclusions

We have shown that by applying the localization techniques developed in [3] – [7] to appropriate supersymmetric quantum mechanical models, both the Atiyah-Singer and Callias index theorems can be derived in an analogous manner. The essential difference between them is that in the Callias case we need to use superloop space formulation instead of ordinary loop space. It would be interesting to derive corresponding localization formulas for supersymmetric field theories.

I thank the organizers of the III International Conference on Mathematical Physics, String Theory and Quantum Gravity for the opportunity to present my work.

References

[1] J.J. Duistermaat and G.J. Heckman, Inv. Math. **69** (1982) 259, ibid **72** (1983) 153; M.F. Atiyah and R. Bott, Topology **23** (1984) 1.

[2] M.F. Atiyah, Asterisque **131** (1985) 43; J.-M. Bismut, Comm. Math. Phys. **98** (1985) 213; *ibid.* **103** (1986) 127; N. Berline, E. Getzler and M. Vergne, *Heat Kernels and Dirac Operators* (Springer Verlag, Berlin 1991).

[3] M. Blau, E. Keski-Vakkuri and A.J. Niemi, Phys. Lett. **B246** (1990) 92; A.J. Niemi and P. Pasanen, Phys. Lett. **B253** (1991) 349; E. Keski-Vakkuri, A.J. Niemi, G. Semenoff and O. Tirkkonen, Phys. Rev. **D44** (1991) 3899.

[4] A. Hietamäki, A.Yu. Morozov, A.J. Niemi and K. Palo, Phys. Lett. **B263** (1991) 417.

[5] A. Yu. Morozov, A.J. Niemi and K. Palo, Physics Letters **B271** (1991) 365; Nucl. Phys. **B377** (1992) 295.

[6] A. Hietamäki and A.J. Niemi, Phys. Lett **B288** (1992) 331.

[7] A.J. Niemi and O. Tirkkonen, Phys. Lett. **B293** (1992) 339; O. Tirkkonen, preprint HU-TFT-92-42; A.J. Niemi and O. Tirkkonen, preprint HU-TFT-93-7 (hep-th/9301059).

[8] K. Palo, preprint UU-ITP 30/93 (hep-th/9311110).

[9] M.F. Atiyah and R. Bott, Topology **23** (1984) 1; V. Mathai and D. Quillen, Topology **25** (1986) 85.

[10] For a review, see T. Eguchi, P.B. Gilkey and A.J. Hanson, Phys. Repts. **66** (1980) 213.

[11] A. Alekseev, S. Shatashvili and L. Faddeev, J. Geom. Phys. **5** (1989) 391.

[12] L. Alvarez-Gaumé, Comm. Math. Phys. **90** (1983) 161; and in *Supersymmetry*, NATO ASI, eds. K. Dietz et. al. (Plenum Press, 1985);D. Friedan and P. Windey, Nucl. Phys. **B235** (1984) 395; P. Windey, Acta Phys. Pol. **B15** (1984) 435.

[13] C. Callias, Comm. Math. Phys. **62** (1978) 213; R. Bott and R. Seeley, Comm. Math. Phys. **62** (1978) 235.

[14] C. Imbimbo and S. Mukhi, Nucl. Phys. **B242** (1984) 81.